

III Adaptive

Open-sourcing what's inside a bank

Or, what is competitive advantage?

What we do

The real-time trading experts.

We were founded based upon the belief that all commerce will transition to digital, and that the best experiences will be real-time

We **design, build, and operate** business led technology solutions utilising cutting edge techniques



Who we are

The real-time trading experts

Our history

- Founded in London in 2012
- Rapid growth since then with over **175 consultants** globally
- Offices in London, New York, Barcelona and Montreal
- Focussed on financial services, capital and commodity markets

We design and deliver solutions into complex organisations

- Delivered Client, Sales and Trading facing platforms
- Real-time data distribution from the cloud and on-prem
- Event-driven collaborative workflows and decision support tools
- Immersive, intuitive user interfaces for desktop and mobile



Our unique blend of IP, business insight, design, technology and change management provides focused, **experienced teams** with specific **technical expertise** and **deep business knowledge**, **delivering lasting competitive advantage** for our clients

Agenda

- An open source bank stack
- What is competitive advantage
- Why open source?
- What we see as being open sourced
- Why we think its wrong
 - Because we need to think differently about what to open source

Adaptive					
Live Rates All USD EUR O			Profit & Loss USD 35.5		
109392 ¹⁰ 109402	5ELL 982 0.7 BUY 989	120841 at 120849			
1.09 72 1.09 70 2	111/02 111/09	1200-11 1200-49			
			100 V V V		
AUD/USD SPT (11 AUG)	USD/CAD SPT (11 AUG)	USD/CHF SPT (11 AUG)	00 V V		
a72942 10 8UV 9.52	^{sell} 53, ¥ ^{evy} 54.	⁵⁰¹ 0,99231 a 807 23.			
0.72942 10 BUY 952	±130531 ⁰⁷ 130548	0,99231 a 0,99239	Positions		
JPY/GPB SPT(11AUG)	AUD/CHF SPT (13 AUG)	GPB/CAD SPT(11AUG)			
0.007006 ¹² 0.007018	0.73323 ¹² 0.73335	1.67777 ¹⁰ 1.67787			
			AUD		
			EUR		
Executed Trades					
Done 673847265 9 Aug 15:21:1					
Done 987645267 9 Aug 15:34:2:		0.89899 25 Aug 2018 Jamie Fragole			
Done 127653897 9 Aug 15:34:3 Done 876394082 9 Aug 14:14:1		1.14420 25 Aug 2018 John Brauer 1.17667 25 Aug 2018 Timo Norros			
Done 876394082 9 Aug 14:14:1 Done 276541789 9 Aug 15:21:1		1.1/667 25 Aug 2018 Timo Norros 0.89766 25 Aug 2018 John Thompson			
Done 873546379 9 Aug 15:21:1		1.03876 25 Aug 2018 Julia Callahan			
Done 816897898 9 Aug 15:21:1		.922000 25 Aug 2018 Sheryl Cassy			
Done 763748276 9 Aug 15:21:1	L Sell EURUSD EUR 1,000,000	1.07777 25 Aug 2018 Rod James			
Done 763748276 9 Aug 15:21:1	Buy AUDUSD AUD 1.000.000				

https://github.com/AdaptiveConsulting/ReactiveTraderCloud https://web-demo.adaptivecluster.com/

Adaptive

A recognisable

(open source)

banking stack

High Level Architecture



Adaptive

What is competitive advantage?

- Business operating model
- Process and culture
- Technical infrastructure

What is competitive advantage?

- Business operating model
 - Latency arbitrage, universal service, product specialisation
- Process and culture
 - Development model and tooling to improve developer productivity
- Technical infrastructure
 - UI tooling, messaging and APIs, workflow engines, high availability patterns

Why do open source



Why do open source

- Soft reasons
 - Copying others without understanding why
 - The optics of giving back
- Hard reasons
 - Externalise costs
 - Reduce hiring/training costs
 - Change the way the market operates to your benefit

Copying others without understanding why

- If you're going to open source, you need to do it well
- What is open sourced needs to be reusable
- Technical, process and operating model coupling all prevent re-use

How to tell if a FLOSS project is doomed to FAIL

Credit to Tom 'spot' Callaway ...

Size

• The source code is more than 100 MB. [+5 points of EAIL]

Source Control

- There is no documentation on how to use it for new users [+5 points of EAIL]
- You've written your own source control for this code [+30 points of EAIL]
- You don't actually use the existing source control [+50 points of EAIL]

Building From Source

- There is no documentation on how to build from source [+20 points of EAIL]
- Your source is configured editing flat text config files [+20 points of FAIL]
- Your source is configured by editing code header files manually [+30 points of EAIL]
- Your source only builds with third-party proprietary build tools [+50 points of EAIL_]
- You've written your own build tool for this code [+100 points of FAIL]
- o And many more

The optics of giving back

- Top 10 open-source contributors, by originating organization,
- No finance firms here...
- What are the optics of this to prospective talent we need to compete for?

#	Project	Contributors
1	Microsoft	7700
2	Google	5500
3	Red Hat	3300
4	UC Berkeley	2700
5	Intel	2200
6	University of Washington	1800
7	Facebook	1700
8	MIT	1700
9	University of Michigan	1600
10	Stanford	1600

Externalize costs

• Take an internal capability that requires continual investment, and open source it (well)

- Provide stewardship to build a community, have it become self-maintaining, and reduce your investment
- Any good candidates in our open source bank stack?

Externalize costs

- A major library, recently open-sourced by one of our clients, uses Apache Arrow for in-memory data. A new version of Arrow was released, which changed its API
 - Unprompted, the Arrow team raised a PR to update our clients' open-sourced library, to use the new API
- Now imagine this at scale...

Reduce hiring/training costs

- An open-sourced component with wide adoption could mean:
 - New hires know the tech stack already, having worked with it elsewhere
 - Your hiring pool becomes much bigger
- Time-to-productivity will be dramatically shorter
- The candidate might already have contributed to your business in a meaningful way before they joined, and this can broaden your hiring pipeline

The graduate pipeline and university engagement

- Open-sourcing would transform the graduate pipeline
 - Students could work with real platforms, and real components
 - They could even contribute towards those components
 - And they'd be far more inclined towards applying to the firm that 'gave back'

Change the way the market operates

- Open sourcing a significant component and forming an ecosystem around it allows you to force the market to evolve.
- Evolution from 'custom built' to 'utility / commodity' through 'product'
 - Simon Wardley

Adaptive

- When a capability becomes utility, previously uneconomical activities become viable
 - If you can force a capability out of 'product' into 'utility', you can innovate and differentiate with this new spare capacity, or take advantage of new supply for your own benefit

What we see as being open sourced



What we see as being open sourced...

- Proprietary solutions, often highly coupled to:
 - Internal technical infrastructure
 - A bank's culture or procedural environment
 - A bank's operating model
- This is not zero benefit it gives soft benefits. However:
 - Limited value to a wider audience
 - \circ Low adoption
 - Won't change the game

Why we think its wrong



Why we don't think this is the right thing

- Silicon Valley firms open source a layer of business or technical architecture (or both)
 - Sometimes they help build a business around it
 - But often it adds gasoline to the adoption process, or changes market evolution
 - Externalises costs internal infrastructure often becomes revenue generating itself

Why we don't think this is the right thing

- Some examples of major open-source projects from Silicon Valley:
 - Google: Kubernetes, React, PyTorch, Open Compute Project
 - Facebook: Asgard, TensorFlow
 - LinkedIn: Angular, Android, Titus
 - AirBnB: Kafka, Atlas
 - Netflix: Conductor, Cassandra

Why we don't think this is the right thing

- Some examples of major open-source projects from Silicon Valley:
 - Google: Angular, Android, Kubernetes, TensorFlow
 - Facebook: Cassandra, React, Open Compute Project, PyTorch
 - LinkedIn: Kafka
 - AirBnB: AirFlow
 - Netflix: Asgard, Titus, Conductor, Atlas

We need to think differently



We need to think differently

- Banks should consider top down what to make a utility, and where to compete and differentiate
- Banks should open source plumbing, and build ecosystems around it
 - Ecosystem should be encouraged to generate revenues through service and support
- Any technology open-sourced needs to be fit for capital markets world
 - Silicon Valley technology won't necessarily fit with engineering constraints
 - Bank plumbing may not have utility for other industries

Adaptive

We need to think differently

- The regulatory environment is pushing towards an ever-increasing standardization
 - Requirements are uniform across industry, and standardized
 - And this trend will continue
 - There are firms that can support, and who know the business well
 - It fits perfectly in the capital markets space
 - And can change the game, by competing with expensive vendors
- So... are regulatory platforms an example of open sourcing a 'clear layer of your business / technical architecture'?

We need to think differently - a roadmap to an open source utility

Closed-Source

Mutualization

Commodity / Utility

Open Source

The traditional model. A team of developers, working internally, produce a platform tightly coupled to the banks internals, then continue to support it Several firms collaborate on a solution, mutualizing the costs, while moulding their processes internally to fit the newly defined model. Works extremely well with regulatory platforms, which are pre-standardized A firm, or a consortium of firms, produce a platform which is then sold into other firms. Parts of the tech stack can be open sourced, including standards and plumbing Fully open-sourced, potentially previous versions (Google does this well).

Encourage ecosystem that generates revenue support and customisation provided by new or third party firm.

Adaptive

Thanks

Follow us on:

5

@weareadaptive

7	info@weareadaptive.com									

github.com/AdaptiveConsulting
giu up.com/Audpuveconsulung

0													
ilii Adap	otive												
Live Rate	es All				, yq						Profit & Loss		
EUR/USD 977(11400) SELL 392 10 807 109402 EUR 1000000			USDUPY SPT111AUG STLL982 0, BW 989 111,989 111,989				GBP/USD 597(1114.Kg SUL 1.20841 0.8 HV 1.20849 GBP 1.000.000			400 300 200 100			
AUD/USD	942 ¹		r(11 AUG)	USD/CAI SELL 1 30	, 53₁ °		(11 AUG) 4.	USD/CHF	231 🎄	5PT (11 AUG) 8UY 239			и 3:33:46 РМ
AUD 1,000,000					uso <u>1,000,000</u>			USD 1.000,000			Positions		
JPY/GPB SELL 0.007)O6 ¹ ₹ ,µy <u>1,000</u>	вич 0.007С	11 AUG)	AUD/CHI SELL 0.73	F 323 ¹	² виу 0.73З	(11 AUG) 35	GPB/CAD _{SELL} — 1.67 /		SPT (11 AUG) 1.67 787 00			USD
Executed	Trades	DATE	TIME	DIRECTION	CCY PAIR	DEALTCCY	NOTIONAL	RATE	Filter	TRADER			
	673847265 987645267	9 Aug 9 Aug	15:21:11 15:34:22		EURUSD USDJPY	EUR USD	1,000,000 1,000,000	1.20000 0.89899	25 Aug 2018 25 Aug 2018	Fred Jones Jamie Fragole	PnL		
Done	127653897 876394082 276541789	9 Aug 9 Aug 9 Aug	15:34:34 14:14:19 15:21:11		GBPUSD AUDUSD USDCAD	GBP AUD USD	1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000	1.14420 1.17667 0.89766	25 Aug 2018 25 Aug 2018 25 Aug 2018	John Brauer Timo Norros John Thompson			
	873546379 816897898 763748276	9 Aug 9 Aug 9 Aug	15:21:11 15:21:11 15:21:11		USDCHF JPYGPB EURUSD	USD JPY EUR	1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000	1.03876 .922000 1.07777	25 Aug 2018 25 Aug 2018 25 Aug 2018	Julia Callahan Sheryl Cassy Rod James			
	763748276 827645362	9 Aug	15:21:11		AUDUSD	AUD	1,000,000	.074555	25 Aug 2018 25 Jul 2018	Rod James Seaun Morreale			



